

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/402,796	12/22/1999	CHRISTINE DUPUIS	05725.0481	6460
75	90 03/05/2003			
FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW			EXAMINER	
GARRETT & DUNNER 1300 I STREET NW			WELLS, LAUREN Q	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/402,796	DUPUIS, CHRISTINE	
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Lauren Q Wells	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviral rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date		in the final valuation, which are in later	1
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensio unt of the fee. The appropriate extensic originally set in the final Office action; or	ก
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-37</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
0. Other:	R	Imamblen	
		PADMANABHAN 3)3/03	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: A) the 35 USC 112 and 103, and the double patenting rejections, are maintained for reasons of record in the Office Action mailed 11/27/02, Paper No. 26; b) Applicant's arguments are directed toward the Amendment filed 2/13/02, Paper No. 27, which is not being entered. Since this amendment is not being entered, Applicant's arguments are moot..